# DETERMINATION AND FINDINGS FOR SOLE SOURCE EXTENSION OF CONTRACT

**Contract No.:** DCBE-2009-F-9996

**Caption:** Development of Classification System

**Proposed Contractor:** Deloitte Consulting, LLP

**Program Agency:** D. C. Department of Human Resources

## **FINDINGS**

## 1. <u>Authorization:</u>

D.C. Official Code §2-354.04, 27 DCMR 1304 and 1700, 1701 and 2005.6(a)

# 2. Minimum Need:

The Office of Contracting and Procurement, on behalf of the District of Columbia Department of Human Resources has a need to modify the existing "Development of Classification System" contract to enable Deloitte to provide an update to the published and custom survey market analysis and consultation services on an as needed basis.

The period of performance is July 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016.

#### 3. <u>Estimated Reasonable Cost:</u>

The estimated fair and reasonable cost to provide the additional required services is \$240,000.00.

### 4. Facts Which Justify a Sole Source Contract:

Continuity of services is essential to The District of Columbia Department of Human Resources which has a need to modify the existing contract with Deloitte in order to provide an update to the published and custom survey market analysis and consultation services on an as needed basis.

The contract extension will enable Deloitte to provide the DCHR with the updated published and custom survey market analysis to move towards implementation.

I hereby certify that the a	above findings are true, correct and complete.
Date	Ventris Gibson Director Department of Human Resources
Certification by Contra	acting Officer
certify that the notice of accordance with Section Reform Act of 2010 (D received. I recommend t	rice method of procurement under the cited authority. Intent to award a sole source contract was published in 404(c) of the District of Columbia Procurement Practices. C. Official Code §2-354.04) and that no response was that the Chief Procurement Officer approve the use of the method for this proposed contract.
Date	Deborah White Contracting Officer
	<u>DETERMINATION</u>
determine that it is not for process under either Sec Practices Reform Act of	ings and in accordance with the cited authority, I hereby easible or practical to invoke the competitive solicitation tion 402 or 403 of the District of Columbia Procurement 2010 (D.C. Law 18-371; D.C. Official Code § 2-354.02 ly, I determine that the District is justified in using the ocurement.